## STATISTICAL SECTION

# GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES BY FUNCTION (I) LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,		General overnment	Environment and Development		Public Safety		Leisure Activities		Non- departmental	
1995	\$	2,206,610	\$	10,605,928	\$	8,021,964	\$	3,270,025	\$	767,735
1996	Ψ.	2,287,271	•	15,830,573		8,606,729		2,658,387		953,242
1997		2,480,430		14,126,960		9,210,612		2,892,954		944,006
1998		2,625,234		11,845,965		9,660,868		2,663,536		891,557
1999		2,490,722		16,639,102		10,005,232		3,068,911		996,914
2000		2,748,984		14,305,633		10,786,477		3,236,540		711,717
2001		2,916,683		15,548,497		12,009,852		3,507,813		985,953
2001		3,085,314		22,793,279		13,394,643		3,751,287		1,075,234
2002		3,297,515		17,969,896		13,859,523		3,822,370		823,903
2004		3,517,651		17,360,844		14,976,104		4,081,517		962,489

Note: (1) Includes General, Special Revenue, Debt Service and Capital Projects Funds. See Exhibit 5.

Table I

	Capital Projects	Debt Service	 Total
\$	847,339	\$ 2,176,118	\$ 27,895,719
·	868,887	1,800,757	33,005,846
	1,166,416	2,010,822	32,832,200
	4,255,356	2,024,736	33,967,252
	3,315,929	2,164,646	38,681,456
	1,888,495	2,189,780	35,867,626
	4,013,559	2,532,046	41,514,403
	3,413,124	2,422,731	49,935,612
	3,454,036	2,342,855	45,570,098
	5,516,543	2,550,610	48,965,758

# GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL REVENUES BY SOURCE (I) LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,		Taxes		Licenses and Permits	 nes and feitures	_	Inter- vernmental Revenues	narges for Services
1995	\$	16,306,330	\$	802,931	\$ 13,769	\$	7,028,004	\$ 1,361,44
1996	*	17,233,996	•	811,355	16,502		10,800,153	1,475,82
1997		17,849,916		839,898	20,863		9,698,644	1,815,27
1998		19,558,996		1,059,355	17,854		7,344,202	1,811,09
1999		20,551,608		1,385,970	20,053		11,096,492	1,700,3
2000		22,032,598		1,349,780	14,231		8,774,761	1,533,78
2001		23,328,989		1,363,742	14,945		8,388,946	1,557,2
		26,193,450		1,432,195	19,510		14,962,684	1,706,49
2002		29,251,469		1,519,274	25,391		10,823,095	1,984,4
2003 2004		30,430,218		1,551,622	27,676		8,866,342	2,075,0

Note: (I) Includes General, Special Revenue, Debt service and Capital Projects Funds. See Exhibit 5.

Table 2

	terest on estments	Other	Total
\$	454,646	\$ 804,692	\$ 26,771,819
•	595,673	774,832	31,708,332
	589,354	934,143	31,748,096
	701,072	1,311,795	31,804,371
	598,116	1,204,166	36,556,718
	763,966	1,031,545	35,500,663
	948,173	1,472,760	37,074,805
	289,653	1,381,154	45,985,142
	187,590	1,595,635	45,386,923
	192,595	1,794,734	44,938,192

# PROPERTY TAX LEVIES AND COLLECTIONS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Tax Year	Fiscal Year Ended June 30,		Current Tax Levy	 urrent Tax collections	of L	cent .evy ected	linquent Tax llections
1994	1995	\$	12,361,034	\$ 12,134,161	%	98.16	\$ 194,215
1995	1996	•	12,978,742	12,776,997		98.45	189,73
1996	1997		13,779,082	13,605,513		98.74	108,143
1997	1998		14,935,217	14,840,691		99.37	180,94
1998	1999		15,534,727	15,413,292		99.22	125,65
1999	2000		16,598,182	16,453,207		99.13	104,52
2000	2001		17,594,559	17,466,542		99.27	132,50
2001	2002		20,417,001	20,271,201		99.29	116,04
2001	2003		23,067,472	22,919,205		99.36	144,13
2002	2004		23,790,088	23,653,530		99.43	127,72

Table 3

C	Total Tax Collections uring Year	Tot Colle	cent of al Tax ections ax Levy		tstanding elinquent Taxes	Perce Deline Taxe Tax	quent es to
\$	12,328,376	%	99.74	\$	334,792	%	2.71
. Ψ	12,966,728	70	99.91	•	382,811	• -	2.95
	13,713,656		99.53		461,312		3.35
	15,021,636		100.58		467,522		3.13
	15,538,950		100.03		507,815		3.27
	16,557,732		99.76		464,972		2.80
	17,599,050		100.03		479,986		2.73
	20,387,246		99.85		530,838		2.60
	23,063,335		99.98		495,807		2.15
	23,781,256		99.96		490,838		2.06

# TAXABLE PROPERTY ASSESSED VALUE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Tax Year		Fiscal Year Ended June 30		leal Property		Personal Property		blic Service	A	Total	Ratio o Assesse Value to Estima Actual Va	d ited
Tear		Julie 30	• '	lear i Toperey		оро ,						
1994		1995	\$	1,778,151,350	\$	264.148.241	\$	52,555,336	\$	2,094,854,927	100	%
1995		1996	۳	1,862,413,539	•	272,394,696	•	55,050,922		2,189,859,157	100	
1996		1997		1,924,867,361		312,971,196		57,994,746		2,295,833,303	100	
1997	(1)	1998		2,362,338,074		348,375,791		57,982,816		2,768,696,681	100	
1998	(1)	1999		2,457,855,819		365,092,224		60,078,208		2,883,026,251	100	
1999		2000		2,501,345,508		381,872,039		60,948,410		2,944,165,957	100	
2000		2001		2,589,768,417		388,087,936		61,660,255		3,039,516,608	100	
2001	(2)	2002		3,556,294,528		403,959,196		60,873,428		4,021,127,152	100	
2002	(~)	2003		3,688,289,625		415,023,471		63,868,306		4,167,181,402	100	
2003		2004		3,791,942,402		455,906,761		57,587,809		4,305,436,972	100	

Notes: (1) Increase due to revaluation.

<sup>(2)</sup> Increase due to revaluation and the addition of \$200 million from a major annexation.

# PROPERTY TAX RATES - DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GOVERNMENTS PER \$100 OF ASSESSED VALUE LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

#### CHAPEL HILL WITHIN ORANGE COUNTY

Tax	Fiscal Year Ended		Town of 6	Chapel Hill	Orange	
Year	June 30,		General Fund	Transportation	County	Total
1994	1995		.543	.0480	.885	1.476
1995	1996		.543	.0480	.948	1.539
1996	1997		.543	.0530	.998	1.594
1997	1998	*	.495	.0430	.873	1.411
1998	1999		.498	.0400	.902	1.440
1999	2000		.524	.0390	.919	1.482
2000	2001		.535	.0430	.929	1.507
2001	2002	*	.461	.0430	.805	1.309
2001	2003		.510	.0430	.845	1.398
2002	2004		.502	.0510	.880	1.433

#### CHAPEL HILL WITHIN DURHAM COUNTY

Tax	Fiscal Year Ended		Town of 0	Chapel Hill	Durham	
Year	June 30		General Fund	Transportation	County	Total
1994	1995		.543	.0480	.9427	1.534
1995	1996		.543	.0480	.9427	1.534
1996	1997		.543	.0530	.9597	1.556
1997	1998	*	.495	.0430	.9597	1.498
1998	1999		.498	.0400	.9397	1.478
1999	2000		.524	.0390	.9297	1.493
2000	2001		.535	.0430	.9297	1.508
2001	2002	*	.461	.0430	.7290	1.233
2001	2003		.510	.0430	.7530	1.306
2002	2004		.502	.0510	.7630	1.316

<sup>\*</sup> Denotes revaluation year

Table 6

# SPECIAL ASSESSMENTS COLLECTIONS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30,	_	Current essments Due	•	Current Collections	Ratio of Collections to Amount Due	Total utstanding sessments
1995	\$	25,369	\$	16,503	65	\$ 36,623
1996	Ψ	14,864	•	19,531	131	22,115
1997		9,685		6,637	69	15,478
1998		15,478		2,135	14	13,343
1999		13,343		3,253	24	18,731
2000		18,731		7,010	37	11,721
2001		2,717		2,717	N/A	-
2002					N/A	-
2003		_		_	N/A	-
2004		<u>.</u>		-	N/A	-

Table 7

# RATIO OF NET BONDED DEBT TO ASSESSED VALUE AND NET BONDED DEBT PER CAPITA LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Population Estimate	As	Taxable ssessed Value	N	et Bonded Debt	Ratio of Net Bonded Debt to Taxable Assessed Value	Net Bonded Debt Per Capita
1995	42,918	\$	2,094,854,927	\$	14,450,000	0.69	336
1996	44,848	•	2,189,859,157		15,865,000	0.72	354
1997	43,539		2,295,833,303		14,755,000	0.64	33
1998	43,423		2,768,696,681		18,090,000	0.65	41
1999	43,977		3,039,516,608		16,880,000	0.59	38
2000	46,019		2,944,165,957		20,225,000	0.69	46
2001	48,902		3,039,516,608		18,705,000	0.62	42
2001	50,454		4,021,127,152		17,380,000	0.43	33
2002	51,005		4,167,181,402		20,070,000	0.48	38
2003	51,485		4,305,436,972		18,400,000		35

Note: Net bonded debt includes general obligation bonds only.

Table 8

## COMPUTATION OF LEGAL DEBT MARGIN

	lune	30.	2004
--	------	-----	------

Total assessed valuation at June 30, 2004	\$ 4,305,436,972
Debt limit - 8% of total assessed value	344,434,958
Amount of debt applicable to debt limit:  General obligation bonds	18,400,000
Legal debt margin	\$ 326,034,958

## COMPUTATION OF DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING BONDED DEBT

June 30, 2004

Jurisdiction	Bonded Debt	Percentage	Amount
Direct Town of Chapel Hill	\$ 18,400,000	100.0 %	\$ 18,400,000
Overlapping	107 F0F 000	41.7	44,862,945
Orange County	107,585,000	41.7	·
Durham County	181,820,000	1.2	2,181,840
Total Overlapping			47,044,785
Total Direct and Overlapping Bonded Do	ebt		\$ 65,444,785

NOTE: Bonded debt includes general obligation bonds only.

# RATIO OF ANNUAL DEBT SERVICE EXPENDITURES FOR GENERAL BONDED DEBT TO GENERAL GOVERNMENTAL EXPENDITURES

LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year		Debt S	Serv	rice Expend	itures				General .	Ratio of Debt Service to General
Ended					0	ther			vernmental	Governmental
June 30,	F	Principal Interest		Expenses		 Total		penditures	Expenditures	
									(1)	
1995	\$	1,127,673	\$	1,047,886	\$	559	\$ 2,176,118	\$	27,895,719	7.80
1996	Ψ	981,535	*	818,261	•	962	1,800,758		33,022,278	5.45
1997		1,144,529		865,597		696	2,010,822		32,832,200	6.12
1998		1,249,334		775,108		294	2,024,736		33,967,252	5.96
		1,245,829		918,551		266	2,164,646		38,681,456	5.60
1999		1,355,000		834,560		220	2,189,780		35,867,626	6.11
2000		1,520,000		1,011,688		358	2,532,046		41,514,403	6.10
2001		1,320,000		932,418		313	2,422,731		49,935,612	4.85
2002		•		782,855		3,3	2,342,855		45,570,098	5.14
2003 2004		1,560,000 1,670,000		880,610		-	2,550,610		48,965,758	5.21

NOTE: (1) Includes General, Special Revenue, Debt Service and Capital Projects Funds. See Exhibit 5.

## DEMOGRAPHIC STATISTICS LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal Year Ended June 30	Population (I)		r Capita ome (2)	Median Age (2)	School Enrollment (3)	% Unemployment Rate (4)
1995	42,918	\$	16,288	24.8	31,890	2.2
1996	44,848	•	16,288	24.8	32,245	1.9
1997	43,539		16,288	24.8	32,155	1.6
1998	43,423		16,288	24.8	32,375	1.6
1999	43,977		16,288	24.8	32,375	1.3
2000	46,019		16,288	24.8	33,520	1.2
2001	48,902		16,288	24.8	34,462	1.8
2002	50,542		24,133	24.0	34,628	3.1
2003	51,005		24,133	24.2	37,012	4.0
2004	51,485		24,133	24.2	37,587	3.0

#### SOURCES:

- (I) Office of State Planning, State of North Carolina population as restated in September 2003.
- (2) Estimated from 1990 and 2000 Census of Population, U.S. Department of Commerce Bureau of Census. Per capita income and median age data from 1994 to 2001 are calculated on 1990 census data. Per capita income and median age for 2002 to 2004 are based on the 2000 Census of Population.
- (3) Chapel Hill-Carrboro Public Schools and University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.
- (4) Department of Commerce, State of North Carolina.

# PROPERTY VALUE AND CONSTRUCTION LAST TEN FISCAL YEARS

Fiscal		Property Value (2)								
Year							Public		Total	
Ended			Real		Personal		Service		Assessed	
June 30		F	Property		Property		Companies		Value	
1995		\$	1,778,151	\$	264,148	\$	52,555	\$	2,094,85	
1996		•	1,862,414		272,395		55,051		2,189,86	
1997			1,924,867		312,971		57,995		2,295,83	
1998	(1)		2,362,338		348,376		57,982		2,768,69	
1999	( )		2,457,856		365,092		60,078		2,883,02	
2000			2,501,345		381,872		60,948		2,944,16	
2001			2,589,768		388,088		61,660		3,039,51	
2002	(1)		3,556,294		403,960		60,873		4,021,12	
2003	(-)		3,688,290		415,023		63,868		4,167,18	
2004			3,791,942		455,907		57,588		4,305,43	

All dollar amounts are in thousands of dollars.

<sup>(1)</sup> Increase due to revaluation.

<sup>(2)</sup> Estimated assessed value from Table 4.

<sup>(3)</sup> Source: Town of Chapel Hill Inspections Department.

TABLE 12

Construction (3)								
Number of				Non-				
Building	Re	sidential		Residential		Total		
Permits	V:	Value		Value		Value		
579	\$	55,255	\$	21,581	\$	76,836		
745	,	57,491		13,544		71,035		
669		49,166		24,761		73,927		
824		74,946		47,240		122,186		
945		86,188		47,760		133,948		
863		90,299		60,795		151,094		
841		98,861		25,307		124,168		
927		99,479		33,232		132,711		
1,035		116,538		36,407		152,945		
903		85,801		21,280		107,081		

# PRINCIPAL TAXPAYERS

June 30, 2004

			2004	% of Total Assessed	-
Taxpayer	Type of Business	Ass	essed Value	Value	_
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of North Carolina	Health Insurance	\$	35,430,128	0.82	%
US GT LLC (University Square)	Shopping Center		35,126,445	0.82	
VAC Limited Partnership	Apartment Rental		32,283,091	0.75	
Bell South Telephone Company	Public Utility		27,671,141	0.64	
Corium LLC	Health Insurance		25,608,158	0.59	
Europa Center LLC	Office Building		24,273,824	0.56	
Meadowmont JV LLC	Development		23,616,296	0.55	
University Mall Properties	Shopping Center		20,594,783	0.48	
Duke Energy Corporation	Public Utility		20,577,573	0.48	
Estates at Chapel Hill LLC	Development		18,808,243	0.44	
		\$	263,989,682	6.13	

#### Table 14

## TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

### **MISCELLANEOUS STATISTICS**

June 30, 2004

Date of incorporation	1819
Form of government	Council-Manager
Area	21.1 square miles
Miles of streets	153.05
Number of street lights	3,006
Manufer of Street inglies	
Fire protection:	
Number of stations	5
Number of firefighters and officers	74
(exclusive of volunteer firemen)	
(6)(4)(4)	
Police protection:	
Number of stations	
Number of police officers	113
	903
Building permits issued	703
Recreation and culture:	
Number of parks	17
Number of libraries	1
Number of volumes	150,408
Number of volumes	
Employees:	
Full-time equivalents	654
·	

This page intentionally left blank.

# McGladrey & Pullen

Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Town Council Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

We have audited the basic financial statements of the Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2004, and have issued our report thereon dated September 7, 2004. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Town of Chapel Hill's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statements and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements caused by error or fraud in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statements being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

#### Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Town of Chapel Hill's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, federal and State awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

McGladrey of Pullen, LLP

Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2004

# McGladrey & Pullen

**Certified Public Accountants** 

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to Each Major Federal Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance In Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Implementation Act

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Town Council Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement and the Audit Manual for Governmental Auditors in North Carolina, issued by the Local Government Commission, that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2004. The Town of Chapel Hill's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to each of its major federal programs is the responsibility of the Town of Chapel Hill's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*; and the State Single Audit Implementation Act. Those standards, OMB Circular A-133, and the State Single Audit Implementation Act require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Town of Chapel Hill complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2004.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Town of Chapel Hill is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to federal programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Town of Chapel Hill's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Implementation Act.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major federal program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, members of the Town Council, federal and State awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

McGladrey of Pullen, LCP

Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2004

# McGladrey & Pullen

Certified Public Accountants

Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance With Requirements Applicable to the Major State Program and on Internal Control Over Compliance in Accordance With OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Implementation Act

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of the Town Council Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

#### Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina, with the types of compliance requirements described in the U. S. Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") Circular A-133 Compliance Supplement and the Audit Manual for Governmental Auditors in North Carolina, issued by the Local Government Commission, that are applicable to its major State program for the year ended June 30, 2004. The Town of Chapel Hill's major State program is identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. Compliance with the requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to its major State program is the responsibility of the Town of Chapel Hill's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance based on our audit.

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; OMB Circular A-133, *Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations*; and the State Single Audit Implementation Act. Those standards, OMB Circular A-133, and the State Single Audit Implementation Act require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major State program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination on the Town of Chapel Hill's compliance with those requirements.

In our opinion, the Town of Chapel Hill complied, in all material respects, with the requirements referred to above that are applicable to its major State program for the year ended June 30, 2004.

#### Internal Control Over Compliance

The management of the Town of Chapel Hill is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants applicable to State programs. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Town of Chapel Hill's internal control over compliance with requirements that could have a direct and material effect on a major State program in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with OMB Circular A-133 and the State Single Audit Implementation Act.

Our consideration of the internal control over compliance would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a reportable condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that noncompliance with applicable requirements of laws, regulations, contracts and grants caused by error or fraud that would be material in relation to a major State program being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over compliance and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management, others within the organization, members of the Town Council, federal and State awarding agencies and pass-through entities and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

McGladrey of Pullen, LCP

Greensboro, North Carolina September 7, 2004

### Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

#### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2004

Financial Statements:	11	nqualified	
Type of auditor's report issued on		riqualificu	
financial reporting:			
Internal control over financial reporting:	Yes	Χ	No
Material weakness(es) identified?	165		
Reportable condition(s) identified that are	Yes	Х	None Reported
not considered to be material weakness(es)?	165	^	None Neported
Noncompliance material to	Yes	Х	No
financial statements noted?	1es		140
Federal Awards:			
Type of auditor's report issued on		Inqualified	
compliance for major federal programs:			
Internal control over major federal programs:			
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	X	No
Reportable condition(s) identified that are not			
considered to be material weakness(es)?	Yes	X	None Reported
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be			
reported in accordance with Section .510(a) of			
Circular A-133?	Yes	X	No
Identification of major federal programs:			
Name of Program or Cluster		CFDA No.	
Federal Transit Administration		20.500	
Community Development Block Grant		14.219	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between	\$ 300,000		
type A and type B programs:			
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	XYes		No

(Continued)

#### Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

### Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs (Continued) Year Ended June 30, 2004

State Awards:			
Type of auditor's report issued on	Ur	nqualified	
compliance for major state programs:			
Internal control over major state programs:			
Material weakness(es) identified?	Yes	XNo	
Reportable condition(s) identified that are not			
considered to be material weakness(es)?	Yes	X None Reported	
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Section .510(a) of	V	V No.	
Circular A-133?	Yes	XNo	
Identification of major state programs:			
Name of Program or Cluster	C	CFDA No.	
State Maintenance Assistance		N/A	
Powell Bill		N/A	
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ 300,000		

(Continued)

Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Schedule of Findings and Q	uestioned Costs (Continued)
Year Ended June 30, 2004	

	18001
II - Findings Relating to the Financial Statement Audit as Required to be Reported in Accordance	ce With
Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards	

III - Findings and Questioned Costs

A. Federal Awards

None

None

B. State Awards

None

# SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

There were no prior year audit findings.

TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Prog or A	Program or Award Amount	Cur	Current Year Expenditures State	Local
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE							
U.S. Department of Transportation							
Direct Programs:							
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.507	NC-90-X314-00	\$ ,,	1,484,575 \$	932,000 \$	<b>⇔</b> 1	233,000
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.507	NC-90-X295-00	``	334,326	3,036	7,054	(6,295)
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.507	NC-90-X281-00	•	247,850	3,961	ı	991
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.507	NC-90-X265-00		428,130	٠	(21,310)	21,310
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.507	NC90-X330		546,921	298,956	(1,600)	76,339
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.505	04-08-002		67,350	36,928	4,616	4,616
Federal Transit Administration - Federal Transit Capital and Planning Formula Grant	20.505		<del>L</del>	1,331,191	337,412	42,176	42,176
Federal Transit Administration - Thoroughfare Planning - Section 5303	20.505	02-08-002		42,248	(1)	1	~

TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through	Federal CFDA	Grantor's	Program or Award		Current Year Expenditures	ditures
Grantor/Program Title	Number	Number	Amount	Federal	State	Local
Passed-Through City of Durham, North Carolina:						
Federal Transit Administration - Highway Act of 1973 Section 104(f) Planning Grant Total U.S. Department of Transportation	20.505	PL 104(F)	151,094	106,325	30,936	13,183
Federal Emergency Management Agency						
FEMA Public Assistance Grants	97.036	135-11800-00 EMW-2002-FG-06533	331,407 9,993	331,407 9,993	216,791	4,282
Fire Operations and Fireliginel Salety Total Federal Emergency Management Agency				341,400	216,791	4,282
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development						
Direct Programs:						
Community Development Block Grant Entitlement Program income	14.218	MC-37-0016	1,746,000	313,314	1 1	56,115
Economic Development Initiative Grant	14.246	B-00-SPNC-0023	231,250	47,360	1	1
Public and Indian Housing Program - Operating Rental and other income	14.850	A-3963	1,014,675	1,014,675	1 1	401,139
Public and Indian Housing - Drug Elimination	14.854	NC-19DEP0460101	82,552	3,100	•	•

TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Grantor's Number	Program or Award Amount	Federal	Current Year Expenditures State L	ditures
Public and Indian Housing Capital Fund	14.872	NC-19P04650101 NC-19P04650102 NC-19P04650103 NC-19P04650203	611,585 584,065 453,453 95,773	98,219 85,247 12,173		1 1 1 1
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development				1,574,088	•	457,254
U.S. Department of Justice						
Direct Programs: COPS More01 Grant Bulletproof Vest Partnership Program	16.710 16.607 16.592	2001CMWX0269 03-LB-BX-2505	30,533 16,282 28,526	1,120 16,282 2,072		373
Total U.S. Department of Justice				19,474	1	580
U.S. Department of Energy Passed-Through the NC Solar Center NC Solar Grant		2002-0080-05	10,800	250	,	•
National Endowment for the Arts Endowment for the Arts Grant	45.024		10,000	10,000	,	TCA TAG
TOTAL FEDERAL ASSISTANCE				3,663,829	241,121	041,431

TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL, NORTH CAROLINA

SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

Through Crantor Dee Through	Federal	Grantor's	Program or Award		Current Year Expenditures	iltures
	Number	Number	Amount	Federal	State	Local
STATE ASSISTANCE						
North Carolina Department of Transportation						
Powell Bill			1,315,545	•	1,315,545	1 018
Interest			2,463,045	, 1	2,463,045	2 '
NCDOT Street Reimbursement			100,000	1	72,904	t i
NCDOT Direct Allocation Grant - Hwy 54 North Carolina State Technology Funding	00	02-AT-002	1/0,000 211,100		83,741	9,304
Total North Carolina Department of Transportation				1	3,950,804	11,222
National Trails Program			30,000	1	i	1
Sustainable Communities Project			91,200 110.000	1 1	110,000	
UNC/UNC Hospital Fire Assistance State Library Aid			38,033	•	38,033 40,672	, ,
Governor's Crime Commission Grant			40,072			

TOTAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE

TOTAL STATE ASSISTANCE

11,222 858,659

4,139,509

₩

₩

\$ 3,663,829

# NOTES TO THE SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AND STATE AWARDS FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2004

1. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal and State awards includes the federal and State grant activity of the Town of Chapel Hill, North Carolina and is prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of OMB Circular A-133, Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations and the State Audit implementation Act. Therefore, some amounts presented in this schedule may differ from amounts presented in, or used in the preparation of, the basic financial statements. 2. Powell Bill expenditures as reported above represent eligible expenditures reports to the North Carolina Department of Transportation (NCDOT) for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2004. The Town is required to report annually to the NCDOT on the accumulated unspent Powell Bill funds. As of June 30, 2004, the Town had no unspent Powell Bill funds. The amount calculated as interest is based on the prior year interest rate. This was used as an estimate for the current year.