

Kumar Neppalli

From: Docjdg@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2002 9:18 AM
To: Kumar Neppalli; Zeldin@niehs.nih.gov
Subject: Re: Traffic Calming on Sweeten Creek Road

Dear Mr. Nappalli,

The Chandler's Green Neighborhood Association believes in principle that the Town should pay for the cost of traffic calming. However, we have agreed to share the cost evenly (50-50), if that is necessary to achieve the goal of making our neighborhood safer. These are the two options that were outlined as acceptable to the neighborhood in the Town Manager's recommendation to the last Town Council Meeting. The Neighborhood Association rejected the option that it pay the entire cost of any traffic calming measures.

We originally requested three speed humps and a traffic circle. If all of this work were done at one time and the Town would not allocate sufficient funds to pay for the entire cost, we would agree to split the cost evenly.

The Town has proposed to install three speed humps and four way stop signs, followed up by another traffic survey to determine if the traffic circle should be installed. This is an acceptable alternative to us, despite the fact that you had initially not recommended stop signs because of their limited effectiveness. If this plan were to be implemented and the Town would not allocate sufficient funds to pay the entire cost, then the neighborhood would again agree to split the cost of this evenly ($\$7500/2 = \3750).

Should the traffic circle be subsequently recommended and the Town would not allocate sufficient funds to pay the entire cost, then the neighborhood would again consider splitting the cost evenly at that time.

Is the meeting on the 29th open to the public?

I thank you on behalf of the Neighborhood Association for continuing to work with us on this matter.

Sincerely,

Joseph DeVeough-Geiss