

**Kumar Neppalli**

---

**From:** Lee Thomas [lthomas@att.net]  
**Sent:** Thursday, February 21, 2008 2:33 PM  
**To:** Kumar Neppalli  
**Cc:** mbrogren@nc.rr.com; 'Pam Bailey'  
**Subject:** Oaks 1 feedback

Kumar,

Thanks again for the good meeting last week (and to Ernie Rogers).

We have consensus from the neighbors regarding the following matters for Cleland Drive and surrounding streets:

- 1) Bike lane(s): No bike lanes at all, please. Considering the additional signage and regulations necessary for bike lane designation, everyone feels that we're better off just as we are while we wait to ascend on the sidewalk priority rankings.
- 2) Speed tables: There is agreement that one (1) additional speed table on Cleland would be very desirable. We look forward to hearing where you and the colleagues plan to locate table #3.
- 3) Right turn only from Cleland Drive onto Fordham Blvd: Certainly the best option available to us (lacking a traffic signal), and there's wide support for this among the Oaks I neighbors. **Please note:** a "merge lane" on the right would be very helpful for traffic moving onto Fordham Blvd. (e.g., the merge lane from Raleigh Road onto northbound Fordham Blvd.—at Carolina House); probably that's basic to the plan, but it never hurts to confirm.

In other matters, and purely for your information: we'll be in touch with Steve Small at Duke Energy re: underground wiring. Also, we'll check on where we stand in the sidewalk priority ranking, and ask for special consideration for the short section between Burning Tree and Pinehurst—a very dangerous blind spot for pedestrian traffic.

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments about any of this. Meanwhile, our appreciation for your responsive, open-door communication style, and best regards.

Lee Thomas