

**LONEBROOK DRIVE STOP SIGNS
TIME LINE**

**PRIOR TO 1/27/03- TRAFFIC STUDY (PRE-DATED
VINEYARD & LARKSPUR DEVELOPMENTS)**

**1/03-COUNCIL APPROVES STOP SIGNS & 3 SPEED
HUMPS**

SPRING '03-STOP SIGNS INSTALLED

4/03-ERIC PLOW PETITION

6/03- COUNCIL MEETING DECIDES TO:

- 1. DEFER ACTION ON PETITION**
- 2. DELAY SPEED HUMPS**
- 3. EVALUATE EFFECTIVENESS OF STOP SIGNS
ALONE**

**4/04-FOLLOW UP REPORT *RE-AFFIRMED* ORIGINAL
TRAFFIC CALMING PLAN**

6/04-TRAFFIC CALMING POLICY ADOPTED

**11/04-ORIGINAL PETITION BALANCED AGAINST NEW
POLICY**

4/05 – STOP SIGNS REMOVED

Del Snow

From: "Joanne & Steve" <jo2steve@nc.rr.com>
To: "Del Snow" <djdsnow@msn.com>
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2005 4:44 PM
Subject: Re: stop signs

I think we're in favor of the stop signs.

Joanne Promislow
Stephen Craig

----- Original Message -----

From: Del Snow
To: Mitali Lebeck ; Melissa Segal ; sratliff ; Judy Weinstock ; Kathryn Grant ; Doug & Rebecca Calderon-Guthe ; Joanne/Stephen Promislow/Craig ; Irene/Tim Doherty/Wade ; Chiho Wang ; Eric Frazier ; Jeanne/Louis Giordano ; alanhecht ; Garth Gersten ; Wendy/Dan Loyal ; Jian/Sally Wang ; Rob & Kathy Vreeland ; Cathy/Ross Durham ; joe.agusta ; Linda/Ben Poulson ; csuter ; Gary/Edie Poole ; Diane Stranahan ; Deborah Morris ; elkfam02 ; Andrea Tisch ; Dwight Smith ; Larry Simpson ; Melinda/Jim Evans ; Mar Gutierrez ; Jennifer/Lars Bishop ; Beth/Vince Acierno ; Tish Burns ; MMingridnc ; Richard Leber ; Jennifer Uhl Zwikker
Sent: Monday, April 11, 2005 9:28 AM
Subject: stop signs

Hi-

I am planning on going to the Council meeting tonight regarding the removal of the stop signs.

It would be helpful if there were more than just 1 person there from our neighborhood, so I encourage as many of you as possible to join me. It would also be helpful if you could e-mail me your desires about the stop signs (if you haven't already) and I will print them out and bring them. If you know anyone who is not on the above e-mail list PLEASE let them know and tell them to e-mail me too.

Thanks-

Del

From: Mark Zimmerman [mailto:markzimmerman@nc.rr.com]
Sent: Sunday, April 17, 2005 1:47 PM
To: Manager
Subject: Lonebrook Traffic Calming

Cal,

Please direct this to the appropriate staff person. Thanks.

Since the issue of the appropriateness of a traffic calming plan for Lonebrook Drive continues to live on, I would appreciate responses to the following questions:

1. Do you have any reason to believe there has been any reduction in the 196 property owners determined to comprise the Lonebrook Drive service area?
2. If the number of property owners has not decreased, has a valid petition been received by the Town? If so, may I have a copy of it?
3. In your November 8 memo (Follow-up Report in Response to a Petition Requesting Removal of Traffic Calming Devices on Lonebrook Drive) you concluded the two traffic studies conducted on Lonebrook Drive "do not meet the adopted policy criteria" required for the implementation of a traffic calming plan. Has your conclusion changed since that memo?
4. In the same memo, you conclude "that the existing stop sign installation on Lonebrook Drive is reasonable and effective, and should remain."
 1. Since you have already concluded that no traffic calming plan is warranted based on two studies, why do you conclude that Stop Signs are "reasonable"? Why is any intervention "reasonable"?
 2. Since the placement of the Stop Signs violates adopted Policy and Procedures for Traffic Calming Measures ("Stop Signs will normally not be recommended in the following situations: 2) Solely for the purpose of controlling vehicular speeds), why do you conclude that Stop Signs are "reasonable"?
 3. Since the studies showed insignificant changes in vehicular speed after the introduction of Stop Signs, why do you conclude that Stop Signs are "effective"?

I am looking forward to raising these questions with Council and therefore would like clarification on your position. After careful review of the studies and the policy, I can only conclude that no traffic calming of any kind is warranted on Lonebrook Drive. Two studies have failed to support the minimum criteria for traffic calming. The Stop Signs are in violation of adopted policy (based on national standards) and have not proven effective. These conclusions would hold regardless of whether there is a valid petition for consideration of a traffic calming plan. The data simply show it is not needed.

I am looking forward to understanding your recommendation.

Thank you.

Mark Zimmerman