

*(H)*  
*Patricia J. Love*  
*Attorney At Law*  
*100 Northwood Drive*  
*Chapel Hill, NC 27516*

*Certified Superior Court Mediator*  
*Retired Chief District Court Judge*

*Phone: 919-929-3100*  
*and*  
*Fax: ~~919-992-0700~~*  
*e mail georglove@*  
*almspring*

*Dear Planning Board:*

I am sorry that I cannot be at the meeting on the 18th, but here are some comments I have about the 2nd draft:

- p.2-41(b)Alignment: do we really want this kind of control?
- (c)I don't understand why plaza was eliminated and why we are pedestrian and bicycle access together...why an improved surface?
- p.2-46 (c)how high is a story?
- p.4-29 C. too many bike allusions, redundant
- p.4-30 Can't post a bond? Leaving 25% as a guarantee of maintenance and the improvements are free from defect...for how long?
- p.4-33 4.6.89(b)State law requires the iron stakes to be 18" who decides what the phrase "long enough to ensure permanence"? most stakes are removed by homeowners or DOT operations
- p.4-58(C)(3)Do we need some language about the vote in the Board; i.e. a tie vote means the variance did not succeed; who decides when one of the board members has a conflict of interest?
- p.4-64(6)the his or her language is awkward; change to "this" or "the"
- p.5-28 5.4.10 why is the language "or other professional" deleted; certainly gives a registered landscape architect a bonanza and this is a relatively new registration classification. Surely there are several others who can do this work
- p.8-1;8.2.1 do we know what population exists in the extraterritorial planning jurisdiction? Have we heard from Orange County Board of commissioners on the subject?
- p.B2 (f)the section contains language at odds with the language on p.5-28 5.4.10(of course I like this language better)

Thanks for reading this; hope it helps! Good luck.

Loyally, Trish