

---

**From:** Bill Webster  
**Sent:** Wednesday, September 05, 2001 4:35 PM  
**To:** Roger Waldon  
**Cc:** JB Culpepper; Kathryn Spatz  
**Subject:** Development Ordinance, Round 2

Most of my concerns have been addressed. However, I do have some questions, concerns, and comments:

1. Question - Parks & Open Space row, Comm Center & Comm Retrofit are both indicated as applying. Are they?
2. Conservation Subd. seems to lack any incentive to the developer.
3. Conservation Subd. Why have Primary and Secondary open space areas? There doesn't seem to be any reason in the proposed ordinance for separating them out.
4. Conservation Subd. , page 2-10, 1st parag. I'd change the last sentence slightly. "C. areas may include Greenway easements (which allow for pedestrian, non-motorized vehicle, motorized wheelchair, and maintenance access)....."
5. Page 2-20, Table 2.4 is missing.
6. The Minimum Recreation Area Chart on pages 5.35-5.36 result in very low required areas. Two examples follow:

Larkspur required rec area under old method 4.796 acres  
Larkspur rec area under proposed method 1.951

Parkside II required rec area under old method 4.6 acres  
Parkside II rec area under proposed method 1.538 acres

We want more open space not less.

---

Bill Webster, Recreation Planner/Project Administrator  
Chapel Hill Parks & Recreation Department  
200 Plant Road  
Chapel Hill, NC 27514  
(919) 968-2787 ext. 214  
FAX: (919) 932-2923  
bwebster@townofchapelhill.org