

MINUTES OF A MEETING OF THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL OF THE TOWN OF CHAPEL HILL,
MUNICIPAL BUILDING, MONDAY, DECEMBER 6, 1982, 7:30 P.M.

Mayor Nassif called the meeting to order. Present were:

Marilyn Boulton
Winston Broadfoot
Jonathan Howes
Beverly Kawalec
David Pasquini
R. D. Smith
Joseph Straley

Councilmember Wallace was absent. Also present were Town Manager, David R. Taylor; Assistant Town Manager, Sonna Loewenthal; and Deputy Town Attorney, Grainger Barrett.

Petitions

Mr. Tommy Gardner, representing the Downtown Chapel Hill Association, Inc., petitioned Council to "reinstate a previous policy that would allow the Association to purchase tokens (for use in transportation and parking) in bulk quantity with a discount of twenty percent."

Councilmember Straley felt that the request warranted a higher discount percentage. Councilmember Straley asked the Manager to consider leaving the percentage flexible or to consider a higher percentage in his recommendation to Council.

COUNCILMEMBER BOULTON MOVED, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER BROADFOOT, TO REFER THE MATTER TO THE MANAGER.

Councilmember Smith asked the Manager to consider the number of available downtown parking spaces for persons who might use tokens.

Mr. Gardner expressed his concern that any study might take a long time. He requested that Council make a decision at this time and study percentage variations at a later time. Mr. Taylor responded that a report would be submitted to Council as soon as possible.

Mayor Nassif informed those present that Council's policy was to take no action on any petition (unless the item had been listed on the agenda) when a Councilmember was absent.

THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Ms. Jackie Lockhart and Ms. Stephanie Alexander stated their support of Council's policy to assist recycling projects and encouraged a more comprehensive role by Council in needs assessment, community education and information, greater accessibility of recycling projects, and coordination of services.

COUNCILMEMBER STRALEY MOVED THAT THE MINUTES OF NOVEMBER 8, 1982, BE DELAYED UNTIL DECEMBER 13. COUNCILMEMBER BOULTON SECONDED THE MOTION. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Minutes (November 8, 1982)

During "Petitions," Council voted unanimously to delay consideration of the November 8, 1982, minutes until December 13, 1982.

Joint Meeting with the Human Services Advisory Board

Mr. Grainer Barrett, Deputy Town Attorney, outlined the origin of the Human Services Advisory Board. The Board had been charged with assessing human service needs in Chapel Hill, and updating these assessments from time to time. In addition, the Board would (1) identify "gaps" in mechanisms to fill needs in Chapel Hill, (2) work with various County human service and social service agencies and programs, and (3) work with private agencies to coordinate delivery of necessary programs.

The Board was also charged with being responsible for recommending to Council which human service agencies should be funded. Later, the Board would oversee the implementation of performance contracts.

Ms. Patricia Sullivan, Chair of the Human Services Advisory Board, introduced the following Board members: Barry Yearwood, John Gastineau, Ed Dolby, Katherine Savage, and Al Morris. Paul Morris was not present.

Ms. Sullivan informed Council that since the Board's establishment, the Board had met with area human service agency representatives and had reviewed numerous needs studies done by area agencies. With this information, the Board had compiled a list of needs in the following order of priority: poverty, lack of employment opportunities, incapacitating medical conditions, housing problems, lack of child care, lack of transportation, and lack of information for referral.

Other concerns were for individuals affected by funding cuts, needs for emergency housing for women, and coordination of efforts against alcohol abuse. Board members would attend meetings of various community agencies on a rotating basis, to discuss problems and needs and means of coordinating efforts.

The Board proposed to hold a public forum in January to discuss public service needs. The Board would also provide application forms for funding requests from agencies.

Ms. Sullivan requested direction from Council:

1. Guidance for capital funding considerations for improvements to properties owned by private agencies.
2. What time frame would Council follow during the budget process and when would Council be receiving budget recommendation.
3. What "ballpark figure" for funding could the Board expect to use when formulating budget recommendations.

Mayor Nassif asked if the Board would prefer to make recommendations based on needs and let the Manager and Council deliberate funding considerations, or if the Board would prefer to be involved in assessing funding amounts.

Ms. Sullivan felt that the Board would need to know how much money was available in order to be able to establish priorities. Ms. Savage, a Board member, concurred.

Councilmember Howes felt that current budgeted funding amounts would be a fair figure to use; Councilmember Straley concurred.

Councilmember Broadfoot felt it was essentially the County's responsibility to deal with human services and felt it might be helpful to have a County Commissioner on the Board.

Councilmember Smith stated that he hoped Council would never consider capital funding and that he would like to see less duplication of agency efforts. Councilmember Smith also felt that area social clubs could take a community need as a project or that an organization like the United Fund "take an agency under their umbrella."

Councilmember Boulton stated that this issue had been recently addressed at a meeting of the National League of Cities. The management of Santa Anna, California, had developed a formal plan for approaching service clubs on such issues and had reported success with these efforts. Mr. Taylor would request this information from Santa Anna.

Councilmember Kawalec requested that the Board provide an analysis of what the role of Town government was, relative to the roles of other governments and roles of volunteer agencies.

Ms. Sullivan stated that answers to questions such as what was being done, what needed to be done, who was doing it, who could do it best, etc., were currently being carefully considered.

Mayor Nassif felt that Council should give direction to the Board: either (1) give a "ballpark figure" of available funding (which would aid the Board in making funding recommendations) or (2) let the Board know that they should not worry about an amount, but rather take into account only the individual agency's request based on community needs and then refer the matter to the Council and the Manager for funding deliberations.

Councilmember Smith felt that the current level of funding should be maintained (\$20,000). Considerations above that amount could be processed through the Manager for budget recommendations to Council.

Councilmember Straley stated that since funding for the Human Services Department no longer existed, a larger amount could now be considered for funding allocations. He felt the \$20,000 ceiling was a "crippling concept."

COUNCILMEMBER BROADFOOT MOVED, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER SMITH, TO INDICATE TO THE HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD THAT THE TOWN WOULD TENTATIVELY CONSIDER THE ALLOCATION OF \$20,000 FOR CONTRIBUTIONS TO AGENCIES AS A LIMIT AT THIS TIME. FUNDING CONSIDERATIONS OVER THIS AMOUNT WOULD BE PROCESSED THROUGH THE MANAGER FOR CONSIDERATION.

Councilmember Pasquini preferred to see a list of needs ranked by priority rather than by dollar amounts. Councilmember Straley preferred to have the opportunity to evaluate needs by hearing arguments for funding by various agencies.

Mayor Nassif did not support the motion as he felt that Council should not be held to any funding figure, either large or small. Councilmember Howes concurred.

Councilmember Kawalec felt that Council was uncertain and not yet ready to suggest an amount. If the motion passed, it would not mean that the limit was set, either high or low.

COUNCILMEMBER HOWES MOVED A SUBSTITUTE MOTION, THAT THE SENSE OF THE COUNCIL WAS THAT THEY DID NOT WISH TO MAKE A DOLLAR RECOMMENDATION IN RESPONSE TO THE REQUEST OF THE HUMAN SERVICES ADVISORY BOARD, BUT URGED THE BOARD TO CONSIDER ALL OF THE REQUESTS WHICH CAME BEFORE IT AND TO ATTEMPT TO PRIORITIZE THE REQUESTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS VIEWS OF THE NEEDS OF THE COMMUNITY AND TO MAKE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE MANAGEMENT AND THE COUNCIL IN THE BUDGET PROCESS. COUNCILMEMBER STRALEY SECONDED THE MOTION.

Councilmember Smith felt that the motion would not help in eliminating some of the problems experienced during past budget deliberations. Councilmember Howes stated that a prioritized list of needs would be essential. Mayor Nassif stated that the charge given to the Board had inferred that such a list would contain only needs that were not currently met by other governmental agencies. Council would give heavy consideration to the Board's recommendations in light of available funding, as determined by the Manager.

VOTE ON THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION CARRIED 6 TO 2, WITH COUNCILMEMBERS BOULTON, HOWES, KAWALEC, PASQUINI, STRALEY, AND MAYOR NASSIF SUPPORTING, AND COUNCILMEMBERS SMITH AND BROADFOOT OPPOSING.

VOTE TO MAKE THE SUBSTITUTE MOTION THE MAIN MOTION CARRIED 7 TO 1 WITH COUNCILMEMBERS BOULTON, BROADFOOT, HOWES, KAWALEC, PASQUINI, STRALEY, AND MAYOR NASSIF SUPPORTING, AND COUNCILMEMBER SMITH OPPOSING.

VOTE ON THE MAIN MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Mayor Nassif requested that the Board submit a preliminary figure to the Manager in time for him to prepare a preliminary report and recommendation to Council in mid-March. The Board agreed.

The Board would advise Council of the date of the proposed public forum.

Councilmember Smith felt it was the responsibility of all Councilmembers to address needs for economic development to the Orange County Commissioners. He suggested that a resolution could be drawn up and addressed to the Commissioners that would give impetus to the development of economic programs in Orange County and would give support to programs begun by the Chamber of Commerce and the Merchant's Association.

Councilmember Broadfoot expressed his feeling that lack of skills and various emotional and personal handicaps were primary reasons behind poverty.

Joint Meeting with the Parks and Recreation Committee

Mr. Barrett stated that the Commission was composed of ten members, residents of the Town, who advised both the staff and Council on recreational programs, parks/facilities, policies/regulations and procedures for programs and use of facilities, on fees and funding questions, and general recreational planning.

Ms. Florence Soltys, Chair of the Parks and Recreation Commission, introduced Commission members: Tony Lathrop, Chic White, Ray Burby, Lynn Cox, Kani Huron, and Barbara Jacobsen. Garland Hershey, Scott Herman-Giddens, and Mary Pendergraft were not present.

Ms. Soltys stated that a survey had recently been completed by the staff and the Commission. Data from the survey had provided direction in planning and prompted changes.

Each Commission member served on two subcommittees. This allowed more in-depth study and information which the Commission, as a whole, used in making decisions. Subcommittees of the Commission are (1) User Fees and Park Regulations Committee (prepares an annual recommendation for Council consideration); (2) Gifts and Public Relations Committee (investigates ways of enhancing the park system and departmental operations); and (3) Land Committee (reviews open space provisions, advises the Planning Board and Parks and Recreation Commission on planning and related matters and studies the Capital Improvement Programs for recommendations to Council).

Mr. Ray Burby, Chair of the Budget Committee, expressed appreciation for the budget process, as it allowed more citizen input. Budget concerns of his subcommittee centered around the need for municipal support programs. He urged Council to stress the importance of this program to the Orange County Commissioners. A second concern was for user support. The Committee would be considering revenue potential of the swimming pool during the coming year. Emphasis would also be placed on maintenance and operation of capital facilities. In addition, the Committee would be considering ways to make parks usable without staff assistance (i.e., picnic facilities, nature trails, etc.).

Lynn Cox, Chair of the Land Committee, stated that high priority would be placed on the development of a greenway system that would provide an internal safe transportation route between parks, the university, the Central Business District, and area malls.

Ms. Cox requested that allocation of staff time be considered to help evaluate the feasibility of a greenway system. She invited Councilmembers to participate in a walk on the existing greenway system on December 12, 1982, at 2:00 P.M.

Ms. Soltys responded to Councilmember Straley that current facilities were being adequately maintained. Councilmember Boulton was informed that the "Adopt a Park" project was "not currently very active." Councilmember Howes expressed his appreciation for the revival of the plans for a greenway system in Chapel Hill. Ms. Soltys informed Councilmember Broadfoot that an increase in user fees would be discussed during the budget process and recommendations would be submitted to Council.

Mayor Nassif expressed his appreciation for the many services and programs offered by the Parks and Recreation Department.

Resolution Approving the Preliminary Plat for Timberlyne Village

Mr. Jennings identified the area as being 40 acres located on the south side of Weaver Dairy Road between Kingston Drive and N.C. 86.

Because the applicant proposed a change to the previously approved plans for a project that no longer required a Special Use Permit, Mr. Jennings stated that Council would need to review the site plans and the preliminary plat of the subdivision.

The proposed modifications were deemed "reasonable" by the staff. The applicant did not concur with condition "2" ("That a left turn lane with 150' storage and 150' taper be provided on Weaver Dairy Road at N.C. 86 prior to application for any final plat. In lieu of actual construction a performance guarantee may be posted.") and with condition "5" ("That a left turn lane and right deceleration lane be provided to North Carolina Department of Transportation standards on N.C. 86 at Weaver Dairy Road prior to application for any final plat. In lieu of actual construction, a performance guarantee may be posted.")

Mr. Jennings stated that conditions 2 and 5 had been originally required and had been agreed to by the applicant. Staff felt that the development would generate sufficient turning movements at that intersection, justifying both requirements. Traffic was anticipated to double as a result of the development.

Councilmember Smith expressed concern for a future need for a traffic light at this intersection. He felt Council should advise NCDOT of the potential situation so that the State would begin to consider safety measures now. Mr. Jennings stated that as the need became more apparent, NCDOT would be advised.

Mr. Bob Page, developer, stated his objection to conditions 2 and 5. He felt that the problems were "off-site" and should be handled by NCDOT.

Councilmember Straley asked why these conditions were made. Mr. Jennings responded that the conditions had been made in the original Special Use Permit. Traffic projections warranted the conditional requirements. There would be a significant need for improved turning movements as development in the area increased.

Councilmember Boulton felt that the developer should not be made responsible for improvements to the intersection unless other developers were also made responsible.

COUNCILMEMBER BOULTON MOVED, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER BROADFOOT, ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 82-R-207, AMENDED BY THE DELETION OF CONDITIONS 2 AND 5, as follows:

A RESOLUTION APPROVING THE PRELIMINARY PLAT FOR TIMBERLYNE VILLAGE (82-R-207)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill that the Council hereby approves the preliminary plat dated October 27, 1982, for Timberlyne Village located on property identified as Chapel Hill Township Tax Map 24, Lot 28, subject to the following:

1. That, prior to application for a final plat for the Residential-5 phase of the subdivision, Cardinal Street be paved from NC 86 to "Unnamed Street" with a minimum cross-section of 40 feet with curb and gutter. In lieu of actual construction, a performance guarantee may be posted.
2. That a left turn lane be provided for west-bound traffic on Weaver Dairy Road at Kingston Drive prior to application for any final plat. In lieu of actual construction a performance guarantee may be posted.
3. That the number, location and installation of fire hydrants be approved by the Town Manager. That a report detailing the actual water pressure available on the property be submitted to the Town Manager. That plans for boosting the water pressure, if necessary, be approved by the Town Manager and OWASA prior to issuance of any building permit.
4. That a left turn lane and right turn deceleration lane be provided to NCDOT standards on NC 86 at Cardinal Street prior to application for final plat for the Residential-5 phase of the subdivision. In lieu of actual construction a performance guarantee may be posted.
5. That detailed elevations, landscape plans and lighting plans for development of the individual lots be submitted to the Appearance Commission for courtesy review in conjunction with detailed site plan approval for these lots.
6. That a minimum 20-foot wide permanent buffer strip be dedicated along the property's frontage with Weaver Dairy Road. This buffer strip shall be landscaped in accord with Town standards for bufferyards. The buffer strip shall be measured from the edge of the proposed 90-foot wide Weaver Dairy Road right-of-way line.

7. That sewer and utility easements be dedicated as required by the Town Manager and OWASA.
8. That a detailed drainage plan with hydrologic calculations be approved by the Town Manager prior to approval of any final plat.
9. That all structures connecting onto the sanitary sewer system be served by gravity flow. Individual pumps for each lot shall not be permitted.
10. That prior to paving streets, utility service lines and laterals shall be installed and stubbed-out to each lot. A letter from the owner or owner's representative shall be presented to the Town Manager prior to paving any street certifying that all utilities are in place.
11. That no proposed street names (public or private) duplicate or be similar to existing street names within Chapel Hill Township. Such proposed street names shall be approved by the Town Manager.
12. That plans for water and sewer lines be approved by OWASA.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution also constitutes Council's approval of the site plan submitted with the application in accord with Section 15.2 of the Development Ordinance, but the Planning Board shall conduct separate site plan reviews for the individual subdivided lots as required by the Development Ordinance.

This the 6th day of December, 1982.

Councilmember Smith stated that he would oppose the motion to adopt the amended resolution. He felt that in the past other developers had been required to make off-site improvements at their expense. All developers should be treated the same.

Mayor Nassif referenced other developments that had caused an increase in traffic and stated that road improvements had not been required in these instances. He did not feel that one developer should be singled out to make such improvements. There were other developments that had contributed to the traffic impact in this area. And there would be others in the future.

Councilmember Smith suggested that a condition could be added to direct the Manager and staff to contact NCDOT to begin to formulate plans and designs for the improvement of this intersection. Mr. Taylor stated that a separate motion might be more appropriate.

Councilmember Howes supported the motion, but stated that requirements for such improvements were not at all uncommon in other cities when a developer made a sizeable contribution to traffic impact. He felt there was a need for a clear-cut policy in Chapel Hill "that would allow those costs to be assigned to those who generate them." He felt that it was not realistic to expect the State to accept such responsibility.

Councilmember Smith continued that he could not support the deletion of these conditions as it had been a requirement in the original proposal.

Mr. Taylor informed Councilmember Kawalec that the estimated cost for construction of turn lanes at this intersection would be between \$4,000 and \$5,000 per leg (or \$10-\$15,000), figures he had obtained from the Town Engineer, Mr. Morris. Councilmember Kawalec felt that the cost to the developer was small, relative to the cost of land and development. She asserted that such lanes were a significant addition to traffic safety and control.

THE MOTION CARRIED 6 TO 2 WITH COUNCILMEMBERS BOULTON, BROADFOOT, HOWES, PASQUINI, STRALEY, AND MAYOR NASSIF SUPPORTING, AND COUNCILMEMBERS SMITH AND KAWALEC OPPOSING.

Report on Request by Developer of Timberlyne Office Park South to Delete Requirement for Widening of Weaver Dairy Road from Kingston Drive East to Duke Power Company Easement

According to Mr. Jennings, the applicant had requested that the bond (for widening Weaver Dairy Road from Kingston Drive east to the Duke Power easement) be deleted from the conditions for approval. Staff felt that the requirement for a bond was valid: (1) Town's past practice had been to require new development to improve adjacent roadways where necessary; (2) traffic impact resulting from this development warranted the requirement; (3) the Town had no funds available for such improvements, should the area be annexed and it was not believed that NCDOT would make the improvements, due to funding shortages; and (4) staff did not feel that widening the road would create any safety hazards in the lake area on Weaver Dairy Road, as had been indicated by the applicant.

Mr. Bob Page, Developer, requested that the matter be deferred until annexation considerations could be made and until Mr. Ballentine could be present to speak on the issue.

The matter was deferred.

Resolution Authorizing Agreements Between the Orange Regional Landfill and Non-Profit Recycling Organizations

Councilmember Howes, representing the Landfill Task Force, stated that the Task Force unanimously recommended that (1) a policy be adopted to assist recycling programs, and (2) that a 3-year loan of \$9,500 be made to ECOS, Inc., to expand recycling activities in Orange County.

COUNCILMEMBER HOWES MOVED, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER BOULTON, ADOPTION OF THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THE ORANGE REGIONAL LANDFILL AND NON-PROFIT RECYCLING ORGANIZATIONS (82-R-208)

WHEREAS, many citizens of the Towns of Chapel Hill and Carrboro and of the County of Orange support recycling as a method of resource conservation; and

WHEREAS, the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill desires to support and assist the development of non-profit programs for recycling of paper, glass, aluminum, and plastic from Orange County and the municipalities therein; and

WHEREAS, the Council finds that recycling of the above materials furthers the public safety, health and welfare; benefits the Orange Regional Landfill; and is complimentary to the Landfill's public purpose of removing waste materials from the community;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

SECTION I

The Council of the Town of Chapel Hill approves and authorizes the Orange Regional Landfill to approve and enter into Agreements for Collection and Recycling Services between non-profit, tax-exempt organizations and the Orange Regional Landfill in the form presented in this meeting and filed with the permanent records of this meeting, and in accord with the attached policy statement.

SECTION II

The Council authorizes the Town Manager, as administrator of the Orange Regional Landfill pursuant to that certain agreement dated November 30, 1972, between the Town of Chapel Hill, the Town of Carrboro, and the County of Orange, to execute said Agreements for Collection and Recycling Services on behalf of the Orange Regional Landfill upon review by the Landfill Task Force representing the co-owners of the Landfill.

SECTION III

The Council authorizes the Town Manager to execute an agreement between the Town and ECOS and other non-profit recycling organizations concerning recycling activities within the Town, and collection points for material to be recycled, said agreement(s) being substantially in the form presented in this meeting and filed with the permanent records of this meeting.

This the 6th day of December, 1982.

Mr. Taylor reviewed conditions for payments and loans to non-profit recycling organizations, as would be outlined in agreements.

Councilmember Broadfoot stated that he would oppose the motion on the basis that he did not feel that Town government should be involved in such "gifts." He asserted that such agencies would net more than 50% of their revenue and, therefore, did not need such a "loan." Mr. Taylor did not concur with Councilmember Broadfoot's term "gift." He felt that by keeping recyclable material out of the landfill, the agencies were helping to lengthen the life of the landfill. In addition, the money would be safeguarded by placing a lean on the equipment. Also, the contract would require ECOS to remain tax-exempt and non-profit. If a profit were realized, the money could be "turned back into the community," allowing ECOS to maintain a tax-exempt and non-profit status.

VOTE ON RESOLUTION 82-R-208 CARRIED 7 TO 1 WITH COUNCILMEMBERS BOULTON, HOWES, KAWALEC, PASQUINI, SMITH, STRALEY, AND MAYOR NASSIF SUPPORTING, AND COUNCILMEMBER BROADFOOT OPPOSING.

Resolution Authorizing Subleases of Property at the Municipal Operations Facility to Non-Profit Recycling Organizations

COUNCILMEMBER HOWES MOVED, SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER BOULTON, ADOPTION OF THE FOLLOWING RESOLUTION:

A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING SUBLEASES OF PROPERTY AT THE MUNICIPAL OPERATIONS FACILITY TO NON-PROFIT RECYCLING ORGANIZATIONS (82-R-209)

BE IT RESOLVED by the Council of the Town of Chapel Hill that the Council hereby authorizes the Town Manager, contingent upon appropriate approval by the State, to execute sublease(s) with Boy Scout Troop 39 and other non-profit recycling organizations for recycling activities in a portion of the Municipal Operations Facility property. The terms of such sublease(s) shall be consistent with the conditions and area described in the Manager's report on this matter dated November 22, 1982.

This the 6th day of December, 1982.

COUNCILMEMBER BROADFOOT MOVED TO AMEND RESOLUTION 82-R-209 BY INSERTING THE WORDS "20 years for" IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE WORDS "...Troop 39 and other non-profit recycling organizations for...."

THE MOTION DIED FOR LACK OF A SECOND.

VOTE ON THE MAIN MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY.

Historic District Commission--Nominations

Council was informed that Mr. Richard Lamberton had withdrawn his name from consideration for appointment to the Historic District Commission.

Council was informed that on November 8, Councilmember Broadfoot had placed the name of Ms. "Luke" Page in nomination.

Councilmember Kawalec stated that her earlier nomination of Ms. Oldenburg (on November 8, 1982) had been out of order, but that Councilmembers had now received Ms. Oldenburg's application and, therefore, she wished to place the name of Ms. Sara Louise DeWitt Oldenburg in nomination.

Councilmember Kawalec also placed the names of incumbents John Gulick and Phil Rees in nomination.

Councilmember Boulton referenced an earlier memorandum from the Historic District Commission Chairman, Mr. Tate, which expressed the Commission's concern that a majority of "professionals" be maintained in its membership. Councilmember Kawalec stated that a "professional" membership of 5 members out of 10 was required. Current members of the Commission who held degrees in architecture or history were James Webb, Knox Tate, and Gary Freeze. Councilmember Boulton stated that Ms. Oldenburg, a nominee, was an architect. Councilmember Howes stated that Mr. Rees, a nominee, had a degree in history.

No further nominations were made.

Orange County Energy Commission--Recommendations

There were no recommendations made for the vacancy on the Orange County Energy Commission.

Other Business

Councilmember Howes stated that he had compiled a packet of information from the National League of Cities that was now available to other Councilmembers. In addition, the Board of Directors notebook was also available for review.

As there was no further business to come before the Council, the meeting was adjourned at approximately 10:10 P.M.

Joseph L. Nassif, Mayor

David B. Roberts, Clerk